



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,653	02/19/2002	Ikuhito Onodera	111984 2584	
25944	7590 07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			MAGEE, CHRISTOPHER R	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2653	 ,
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/076,653	ONODERA, IKUHITO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher R. Magee	2653			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ag	oril 2005.				
2a)⊠	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	on Papers	·				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exit of the correction is objected to be the Exit of the correction in the correction in the correction is objected to be the correction of the co					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: In Figures 1 and 5, reference number 6 is not mentioned in the description. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanuki (US 6,195,871 B1) in view of Kato et al. (hereinafter Kato) (US 6,747,846).

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Watanuki discloses a wafer structure comprising:
 thin film magnetic head assembly [12] [Figs. 1 and 2], which is fabricated on a given substrate [25],

Page 3

an electrical circuit [14] including a circuit leading wire [32] so as to monitor the processing degree of said thin film magnetic head assembly, which is fabricated on said substrate,

a protective layer [36] so as to cover said thin film magnetic head assembly [12] and said electrical circuit [14],

a bump [41] so as to penetrate said protective layer and thus, to be exposed, which is fabricated on said substrate, and

an element leading wire [42] to be electrically connected to said thin film magnetic head element to be connected to an external circuit, which is fabricated on said substrate,

said element leading wire [42] being electrically connected with said circuit leading wire [32] [col. 4, lines 35-39],

said bump [41] is shared with said thin film magnetic head assembly and said electrical circuit [col. 4, lines 29-34].

Watanuki does not teach the element leading wire being in direct contact with the substrate.

Kato discloses an inside metal layer [116g] (i.e., element leading wire) that is electrically conductive contact with substrate [101g] [col. 9, lines 11-14; Fig. 7].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to furnish the wafer structure of Watanuki with the element leading wire in direct contact with the substrate as taught by Kato.

Page 4

The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to furnish the wafer structure of Watanuki with the element leading wire in direct contact with the substrate as taught by Kato in order to provide a higher equilibrium electrode potential in an aqueous solution than that of the magnetic thin film, thus retarding corrosion of the magnetic thin film during lapping procedures [Kato; col. 2, lines 51-67].

- Regarding claims 2 and 11, Watanuki shows a conductive film [52] to electrically connect said element-leading wire [42] and said circuit-leading wire [32].
- Regarding claims 3 and 12, Watanuki discloses the conductive film [52] is made by a sputtering method or a plating method [col. 4, lines 41-43]..
- Regarding claims 4 and 13, Watanuki discloses the element-leading wire [42], said circuit-leading wire [32] and said conductive film [52] are made of the same conductive material [col. 4, lines 39-44].
- Regarding claims 5 and 14, Watanuki shows a bonding pad [20b]on the protective layer [36] so as to be electrically connected with said bump [Fig. 2].
- Regarding claims 6 and 15, Watanuki shows the bonding pad [22b] is elongated, on said protective layer, to the area of said electrical circuit [Fig 1].

• Regarding claims 7 and 16, Watanuki shows the bonding pad [20b] is narrowed (i.e., connection section 51) in the area between said thin film magnetic head assembly [12] and said electrical circuit [14].

- Regarding claims 8 and 17, Watanuki teaches the electrical circuit [14] is constructed of an electrical lap-guiding element [col. 1, lines 42-43].
- Regarding claims 9 and 18, Watanuki teaches the thin film magnetic head assembly [12] includes a reading head element, and the polishing degree of said reading head element is monitored by said electrical lap-guiding element [col. 2, lines 49-61].

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is annotated on PTO-892.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/076,653

Art Unit: 2653

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Magee whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7592. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8: 00 am-5: 30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christóphel R/Mag Patent Examiner Art Unit 2653

July 10, 2005

crm

GEORGE J. LETSCHER

Page 6